

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,242	08/09/2001	Lifeng Xu	200-1374 AJL	9923	
22844	22844 7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER	
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC.			VO, HI	VO, HIEU T	
	SUITE 600 - PARKLANE TOWERS EAST ONE PARKLANE BLVD. DEARBORN, MI 48126			PAPER NUMBER	
DEARBORN,				11	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	\mathcal{A}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111
-11-	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/682,242	XU ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		HIEU T. VO	3747
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution of the period for reply will be the period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>09 August 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b	n)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	on and/or election requirement.	
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	bj
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2001</u>	_is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed o	on is: a) approved b) di	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi	ired in reply to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in Ap	oplication No
* 5		the priority documents have been in ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not refer to the certified copies of the certified copies.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	·	
7) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu		
15) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachmen	` '	_	
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	0-948) 5) Notice of Ir	fummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .

Application/Control Number: 09/682,242

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "said exhaust gas sensor", line 1, should be changed to – an exhaust gas sensor --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/682,242

Art Unit: 3747

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 09/682, 241. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter of the instant application is fully disclosed by the scope of claims 1-29 in the copending application.

This is a **provisional** obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Claims 1-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 09/682, 243. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter of the instant application is fully disclosed by the scope of claims 1-18 in the copending application.

This is a **provisional** obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/682,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King et al. (6,167,698 B1).

Claims 1-26 are deemed broad enough to be descriptive of the exhaust gas purification system of King et al. The reference of King et al. discloses an exhaust gas purification system comprising a reservoir 30 for receiving pressurized air form the compressor portion 31 of the engine turbo charger 20, a check valve 32 to prevent the pressurized air from bleeding out the reservoir 30 and back to the turbocharger 20, a passage 38 provides a conduit through which the pressurized air and reductant is introduced into the exhaust gas stream of the catalyst 40. An injector 42 is located upstream of the catalyst 40 for supplying reductant into chamber 36 from the reductant supply system 44. An engine control unit 18 includes a microprocessor 22 in communication with input/output ports and associated memory 24 to generate control signal for activating the injector 42 and air valve 34 in accordance with the duty cycle of the injector as shown in Figure 2 (col. 3 line 5 though line 67).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference B-E and N are cited as being state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,242

Art Unit: 3747

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIEU T. VO whose telephone number is 703-308-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 2nd Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY C. YUEN can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

HTV

Hieu T Vo Primary Examiner Art Vnit 3747

10/1/03

Page 5